

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,974	10/02/2003	Melvin Auerbach	LIT-015-DIV	6288
7590 06/19/2006 HERTEN BURSTEIN SHERIDAN CEVASCO BOTINELLI & LITT Court Plaza North 25 Main Street Hackensack, NJ 07601			EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/675,974	AUERBACH, MELVIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE O	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b. Briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. JOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2006.						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ⁻	☑ This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 13 is/are pending in t	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	Thice Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		e e Al				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu		cerved in this National Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived.				
,	2222 22022 20.222 2021 191 2						
Ameh	.4(a)						
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 10/675,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The claims require the crosslinking mechanism to displace a halogen atom.

 There is no halogen containing component identified in the claims. It is unclear how and where the halogen component is to react with the crosslinker.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaeding US 5,855,972.
- 6. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 set forth in the paper mailed 11/30/05 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.
- 7. Applicants remarks have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

8. The primary point of contention with respect to the Kaeding is that the Kaeding patent shows a "stop". Applicants argue that the "stop" is proof that there is minimal crosslinking in the Kaeding composition. This is not persuasive. The "stop" of Kaeding is not seen to be germane to the patentability of the instantly claimed invention.

Kaeding references the claimed crosslinking agents at column 14 lines 55+. Further there are no limitations in the claims with respect to the amount or degree of crosslinking. The claimed crosslinking agents are known and have been used in crosslinking of the claimed polymers. As such the incorporation thereof is rendered prima facie obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,974 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272,1000,

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 17/13

6/12/06